## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT:

International application No.
PCT/JP03/04097

A. CLAS	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER .Cl <sup>7</sup> A61K38/00, 45/00, 47/42, 43/00	A61P21/02, 25/00, 25/14	1
According t	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both n	ational classification and IPC	
	S SEARCHED		·
Minimum d	locumentation searched (classification system followed Cl <sup>7</sup> A61K38/00, 45/00, 47/42, 2	by classification symbols)	27/02
1110.	43/00 43/00, 47/42, 1	MOIFZI/UZ, 23/00, 20, 1	
	*		
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to th		
	•		
Electronic d	data base consulted during the international search (name of US, MEDLINE, EMBASE, BIOSIS (ST	ne of data base and, where practicable, sea	rch terms used)
CALL	105, MEDITINE, EMBASE, E10510(0.		•
			:
C. DOCU	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		;
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap		Relevant to claim No.
Х	WO 00/74703 A (BIOTECON GESE BIOTECHNOLOGISCHE ENTWI CKLU)		1-7
	MBH),		
	14 December, 2000 (14.12.00) Full text; particularly, page		
	page: 7, line 3		
	& JP <sub> </sub> 2003-505343 A & CA	2376193 A	
х	WO 97/35604 A (WISCONSIN ALC	MNI RESEARCH	1-7
	FOUNDATION), 02 October, 1997 (02.10.97),	·	
	Full text; particularly, Clas & JP 11-507072 A	ims 1, 2, 5	
	÷		
	•		;
	·		;
× Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	:
	l categories of cited documents: ent defining the general state of the art which is not	"T" later document published after the inte- priority date and not in conflict with the	rnational filing date or application but cited to
considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing		"X" document of particular relevance; the	erlying the invention cannot be
date	ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is	considered novel or cannot be conside step when the document is taken alone	red to involve an inventive
special	o establish the publication date of another citation or other reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive step	when the document is
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		combined with one or more other such combination being obvious to a persor	skilled in the art
than the	ent published prior to the international filing date but later e priority date claimed	"&" document member of the same patent	
	actual completion of the international search lay, 2003 (09.05.03)	Date of mailing of the international search 20 May, 2003 (20.05	ch report 5.03)
Name and m	nailing address of the ISA/	Authorized officer	·
Japanese Patent Office			
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.	

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP03/04097

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
X	WO 96/11699 A (WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH FOUNDATION), 25 April, 1996 (25.04.96), Full text; particularly, Claims 1, 2 & US 5512547 A	1-7 1,4-6 2,3,7
X Y	GOESCHEL Hilke et al., Botulinum A Toxin Therapy: Neutralizing Antibodies-Therapeutic Consequences, EXPERIMENTAL NEUROLOGY, 1997, Vol.147, pages 96 to 102, full text	
. ' ';	The state of the s	
		1
·		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of second sheet) (July 1998)

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/JP03/04097

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: 1. X Claims Nos.: 8, 9 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Claims 8 and 9 pertain to methods for treatment of the human body by therapy and thus relate to a subject matter which this International Searching Authority is not required, under the provisions of Article 17(2)(a)(i) of the PCT and Rule 39.1(iv) of the Regulations under the PCT, to search. 2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. Remark on Protest No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.